Finance and Trade Dynamics
WISDOM ACADEMIC ISSN: 3080-7247 | E-ISSN: 3080-7255 Volume 2 , Issue 1

Article

Beyond the Hype: A Structural Analysis of Blockchain Technology in the Transformation of Global Trade Finance—Opportunities, Institutional Barriers, and Future Convergence

Jingdong Huang[1,*], Zhanpeng Wang[1]

[1]School of Finance and Economics, Xizang University, Lhasa 850000, China.

[*]**Corresponding author:** Jingdong Huang, 2024691791@qq.com.

CITATION

Huang JD & Wang ZP. Beyond the Hype: A Structural Analysis of Blockchain Technology in the Transformation of Global Trade Finance—Opportunities, Institutional Barriers, and Future Convergence. Finance and Trade Dynamics. 2026; Vol 2 (No. 1):276.

https://doi.org/10.63808/ftd.v2i1.276

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 1 December 2025
Accepted: 8 December 2025
Available online: 6 January 2026

Abstract: The global trade finance ecosystem, representing the circulatory system of international commerce, is currently beset by a widening dichotomy between the rapid digitization of physical logistics and the archaic stagnation of financial settlement processes. This paper offers a comprehensive, theoretically grounded critique of Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) as a remedial paradigm for the structural inefficiencies—namely systemic information asymmetry, prohibitive transaction costs, and acute vulnerability to fraud—that define the contemporary trade finance landscape. Grounding the analysis in Transaction Cost Economics (TCE) and the Resource-Based View (RBV) of the firm, we articulate how blockchain reconfigures the ontology of trust from an intermediary-dependent model to a cryptographic consensus mechanism. The study provides a granular examination of how smart contracts and tokenization can automate the lifecycle of the Letter of Credit (L/C) and democratize access to supply chain finance (SCF) for underserved Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). However, contrary to techno-utopian narratives, we argue that the path to industrial-scale adoption is fraught with non-technical friction. We critically analyze the "digital island" paradox of interoperability, the profound legal ambiguities surrounding electronic transferable records, and the governance

challenges inherent in banking consortiums. The paper concludes by forecasting a trajectory of convergence, where blockchain integrates with the Internet of Things (IoT) and Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) to enable atomic settlement, ultimately suggesting that the revolution of trade finance is a complex socio-technical evolution requiring the harmonization of code, law, and commercial incentives.

Keywords: Blockchain; Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT); trade finance; supply chain finance; smart contracts

1. Introduction

International trade finance has historically functioned as the indispensable lubricant of the global economy, providing the necessary liquidity and risk mitigation to bridge the trust gap between exporters and importers operating across disparate legal jurisdictions and regulatory environments. Despite its critical systemic importance, the operational architecture of trade finance remains remarkably anachronistic. While the physical movement of goods has been revolutionized by containerization, GPS tracking, and just-in-time logistics, the financial supply chain largely relies on paper-based documentation and manual verification processes that have evolved little since the 19th century. This misalignment creates significant friction, manifest in the heavy reliance on physical Bills of Lading, invoices, and certificates of origin that must be physically couriered between banks, insurers, and customs authorities. The Asian Development Bank (2023) has consistently highlighted a persistent global trade finance gap, estimated at over $2.5 trillion, which disproportionately affects Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in developing economies who are unable to meet the stringent collateral requirements and high diligence costs of traditional banking institutions.

In this context, Blockchain, or Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT), has emerged not merely as an incremental technological upgrade, but as a potential institutional shifting force capable of redefining the theoretical foundations of commercial exchange. By offering a decentralized, immutable, and transparent record of transactions, blockchain promises to dismantle the information silos that currently fragment the supply chain. Numminen and Rossi (2021) argue that DLT solutions are

uniquely positioned to close the trade finance gap by reducing the verification costs that currently make SME lending unprofitable for major banks. The allure of the technology lies in its ability to establish a "single source of truth" shared simultaneously by all permissioned parties, thereby eliminating the need for the laborious reconciliation of conflicting ledgers. This paper seeks to move beyond the superficial hype surrounding fintech innovations to offer a deep, theoretical, and practical analysis of blockchain's integration into trade finance. It aims to dissect how this technology addresses specific pain points like duplicate financing fraud and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) compliance inefficiencies, while simultaneously critically evaluating the significant non-technical hurdles—legal, regulatory, and organizational—that threaten to stall its industrial-scale application. The central thesis posits that while blockchain offers a technically superior infrastructure for trade, its success is contingent upon a radical restructuring of inter-organizational governance and the establishment of a globally harmonized legal framework for digital assets.

2. Theoretical Framework: The Economics of Trust and Intermediation

To fully grasp the transformative potential of blockchain in this sector, it is essential to ground the analysis in the economic theories that explain the existence and persistence of trade finance intermediaries. Transaction Cost Economics (TCE), as propounded by Oliver Williamson, suggests that banks exist in trade finance primarily to mitigate the costs associated with information asymmetry and the risk of opportunistic behavior between trading partners. In a traditional setting, an exporter does not trust an importer to pay, and an importer does not trust an exporter to ship high-quality goods. Banks intervene by exchanging documents and creditworthiness for a fee, acting as trusted third parties. However, this intermediation comes with high agency costs, friction, and delay. As Cong and He (2019) elucidate, the theoretical innovation of blockchain lies in its ability to introduce "trustless trust"—a system where reliance is placed on cryptographic proof and algorithmic consensus rather than on the institutional reputation of a central intermediary or the manual diligence of a bank officer.

By creating a shared, immutable ledger visible to all permissioned parties, blockchain drastically reduces the ex-ante search costs and ex-post enforcement costs associated with trade. From the perspective of Agency Theory, the technology minimizes the moral hazard inherent in the principal-agent relationship. For instance, in a blockchain-based system, the status of a shipment or the validity of an invoice is visible to the lender in real-time, preventing the borrower from hiding adverse information. Furthermore, utilizing the Resource-Based View (RBV), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021) posit that the data accumulated on these ledgers becomes a strategic resource for sustainable supply chain management. Banks that successfully integrate into these networks gain access to granular, verified data on client performance and supply chain stability, allowing for more accurate risk pricing and the development of novel financing products. Thus, blockchain does not merely digitize existing processes; it alters the fundamental economic logic of verification, shifting the industry from a labor-intensive, document-centric model to a data-centric, automated paradigm.

3. The Structural Crisis of Traditional Trade Finance

Before detailing the solution, one must appreciate the depth of the existing inefficiencies that necessitate such a radical transformation. The current trade finance ecosystem is characterized by a multiplicity of participants—banks, insurers, logistics providers, customs agencies, and corporate traders—each maintaining their own separate, siloed ledgers. This fragmentation necessitates a constant, manual reconciliation of data, a process that is not only time-consuming but also prone to significant human error. The Letter of Credit (L/C), a cornerstone instrument of trade finance for centuries, exemplifies this inefficiency. Processing an L/C involves the physical movement of documents between multiple banks and jurisdictions, often taking ten to twenty days to settle. During this period, capital is effectively trapped, increasing the working capital burden on companies and slowing the velocity of global trade.

Moreover, the opacity of these manual processes creates a fertile ground for fraud, particularly the pernicious issue of duplicate financing. In the absence of a unified registry, a rogue trader can present the same invoice or bill of lading to multiple banks to secure concurrent loans. Xu et al. (2021) analyze the application

logic of blockchain in risk prevention, noting that traditional bank-to-bank communication is insufficient to detect these cross-institution frauds in real-time. Because Bank A has no visibility into the collateral registry of Bank B, the fraud is often only discovered after the borrower defaults. Additionally, the regulatory burden of Know Your Customer (KYC) and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) compliance has grown exponentially. Currently, every bank involved in a trade transaction must independently perform due diligence on the same corporate entities, resulting in a massive duplication of effort and cost. These compliance costs are often passed on to customers or result in "de-risking," where global banks sever ties with smaller banks or clients in emerging markets, exacerbating the trade finance gap and stifling economic development in the regions that need it most.

4. Mechanisms of Transformation: From Paper to Code

Blockchain technology addresses these structural deficits by fundamentally altering the architecture of information exchange through three primary mechanisms: distributed ledgers, smart contracts, and tokenization. The primary mechanism is the creation of a distributed ledger that serves as a single, immutable version of the truth. When all participants have real-time access to the same validated data, the need for reconciliation is virtually eliminated. For the Letter of Credit, this means that the moment an exporter uploads a digital bill of lading, the issuing bank, the advising bank, and the importer can instantly verify its authenticity. Liu and Li (2022) demonstrate that this enhanced information transparency is the key driver for the digital transformation of supply chain finance, compressing processing times from weeks to hours and significantly improving liquidity for exporters.

The integration of Smart Contracts represents a second leap forward in transactional automation. These are self-executing contracts with the terms of the agreement directly written into code. In a trade context, a smart contract can be programmed to automatically trigger payment once pre-defined conditions are met. For example, by integrating data feeds from the logistics carrier, a smart contract could release funds to the exporter the exact moment the goods are confirmed to have been loaded onto the vessel, without requiring manual approval from a bank clerk. Chang et al. (2020) emphasizes through expert interviews that this automation not only reduces the administrative burden on banks but also lowers the marginal cost of

processing a transaction, making it economically viable for banks to finance smaller ticket sizes, thereby directly addressing the SME financing gap.

The third transformative mechanism is Tokenization, the process of converting rights to an asset into a digital token on a blockchain. In trade finance, an invoice or a bill of lading can be tokenized, turning it into a unique digital asset that can be easily traded, fractionated, or used as collateral. This capability is particularly revolutionary for Supply Chain Finance (SCF). As noted in a systematic review by Cui et al. (2023), tokenization allows a supplier to sell a digital invoice to a wide pool of investors, not just their primary bank, creating a more competitive marketplace for receivables financing. Furthermore, tokenization solves the double-spending problem; once a digital invoice token is financed or sold, the ledger records the transfer of ownership, making it technically impossible to finance the same asset twice. This cryptographic assurance restores trust in the system and encourages liquidity providers to re-enter markets they had previously exited due to fraud risks.

5. The Challenge Landscape: Technical, Legal, and Institutional

Despite the compelling theoretical arguments and pilot successes, the transition from proof-of-concept to industrial-scale implementation faces formidable challenges that are as much institutional as they are technical. Foremost among these is the issue of interoperability, often referred to as the "digital island" problem. The current landscape is witnessing the proliferation of permissioned blockchains and consortiums. Dutta et al. (2020) highlight that while blockchain has immense potential in supply chain operations, the existence of disparate networks (e.g., Corda, Hyperledger Fabric, Ethereum) operating on different protocols creates a new form of fragmentation. If these platforms cannot communicate with one another—if a digital bill of lading on one chain cannot be recognized by a bank on another chain—the industry risks replacing paper silos with digital silos, thereby negating the network effects essential for a global solution.

Closely linked to the technical hurdles is the profound legal and regulatory ambiguity surrounding digital trade. Trade finance is governed by centuries-old laws that heavily prioritize the physical possession of documents. In many jurisdictions, a digital file does not legally constitute a "document of title" or a negotiable instrument in the same way a physical Bill of Lading does. To address this, the United Nations

Commission on International Trade Law (2017) introduced the Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (MLETR) to provide a supranational framework for the legal recognition of digital assets. However, Wang and Zhang (2023) warn that adoption by national governments has been slow and uneven, and without specific domestic legislation implementing MLETR, banks are forced to run "digital twin" processes where paper trails are maintained alongside blockchain transactions to ensure legal enforceability, effectively undermining the efficiency gains.

Furthermore, the governance of these decentralized networks poses a unique institutional challenge. Unlike open cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin, trade finance blockchains are typically "permissioned" networks run by consortiums of banks and corporations. Determining who owns the data, who pays for the infrastructure maintenance, and how disputes are resolved requires complex governance structures that are difficult to negotiate. There is an inherent tension between the collaborative nature of a shared ledger and the hyper-competitive nature of the banking industry. Large financial institutions may be reluctant to join platforms initiated by rivals, fearing that a transparent ledger could commoditize their proprietary pricing models or expose sensitive client relationships to competitors. This "co-opetition" paradox often leads to gridlock in decision-making and slows down the adoption of common standards.

6. Future Trajectories and Managerial Implications

Looking ahead, the transformation of trade finance will likely be defined not by blockchain in isolation, but by its convergence with other technologies of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. The integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) with blockchain offers a promising horizon where physical goods can "speak" to the financial ledger. For instance, sensors in a shipping container could broadcast location, temperature, and humidity data directly to a blockchain. This data acts as an objective "oracle" for smart contracts. If a shipment of perishable goods exceeds a safe temperature threshold, the smart contract could automatically record this failure, adjust the final payment amount based on pre-agreed penalties, or trigger an insurance claim instantly. This convergence bridges the physical and financial supply chains, reducing disputes and insurance fraud.

Moreover, the rise of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) and regulated stablecoins addresses the "final mile" problem of settlement. Currently, while the information flow regarding a trade can be digitized and accelerated on a blockchain, the actual movement of money often still occurs via legacy payment rails (like SWIFT), which can be slow and opaque. He (2021) argues that the evolution of money in the digital age, particularly through CBDCs, is a necessary condition for the full realization of blockchain's potential. The integration of programmable money would allow for "atomic settlement," or Delivery-versus-Payment (DvP), where the transfer of the title of goods and the transfer of payment happen simultaneously and instantly within the same digital environment. This would eliminate settlement risk entirely, revolutionizing liquidity management for treasurers.

For managers and policymakers, the implication is clear: the focus must shift from competitive isolation to collaborative standardization. The successful adoption of these technologies requires the formation of robust governance frameworks that align the incentives of banks, logistics providers, and regulators. Future research and practice must therefore prioritize the development of global standards for digital identity and data interoperability to prevent market fragmentation. Policymakers must accelerate the adoption of frameworks like MLETR to provide the necessary legal certainty for digital trade.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, blockchain technology represents a foundational shift in the operational logic of trade finance, offering a path away from the paper-based inefficiencies of the past toward a future of transparency, automation, and reduced risk. By leveraging distributed ledgers, smart contracts, and cryptographic security, the industry stands to gain billions in reduced operational costs, improved liquidity, and enhanced risk mitigation. However, the realization of this vision is neither automatic nor inevitable. It depends heavily on the industry's ability to navigate the complex interplay between technological innovation and institutional inertia. While the opportunities for cost reduction and fraud prevention are substantial, they can only be unlocked through a concerted global effort to harmonize legal frameworks, establish interoperable technical standards, and resolve the governance paradoxes inherent in consortium models. As the technology matures, the discourse moves from

the feasibility of the ledger to the governance of the ecosystem, suggesting that the ultimate revolution in trade finance will be as much political and legal as it is technological. The future of trade is digital, but arriving there requires building bridges not just between servers, but between institutions.

Author contributions: Conceptualization, JH and ZW; methodology, JH; validation, JH and ZW; formal analysis, JH; investigation, ZW; resources, JH; data curation, ZW; writing—original draft preparation, JH; writing—review and editing, ZW; visualization, ZW; supervision, JH; project administration, JH All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions that helped improve the quality of this paper. We also acknowledge the administrative and technical support provided by the College of Finance and Economics, Xizang University.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Asian Development Bank. (2023). *2023 Trade finance gaps, growth, and jobs survey*. https://www.adb.org/publications/2023-trade-finance-gaps-growth-jobs-survey

[2] Chang, V., Baudier, P., Zhang, H., Xu, Q., Zhang, J., & Arami, M. (2020). How Blockchain can impact financial services – The overview, challenges and recommendations from expert interview. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 158*, 120166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120166

[3] Cong, L. W., & He, Z. (2019). Blockchain disruption and smart contracts. *The Review of Financial Studies, 32*(5), 1754–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhz007

[4] Cui, Y., Idota, H., & Ota, M. (2023). The impact of blockchain technology on supply chain finance: A systematic review. *Review of Managerial Science, 17*(3), 1013–1044.

[5] Dutta, P., Choi, T. M., Somani, S., & Butala, R. (2020). Blockchain technology in supply chain operations: Applications, challenges and research opportunities. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 142*, 102067. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102067

[6] He, D. [何东]. (2021). *Money and the financial system in the digital age* [数字时代的货币与金融体系]. China Financial Publishing House.

[7] Kouhizadeh, M., Saberi, S., & Sarkis, J. (2021). Blockchain technology and the sustainable supply chain: Theoretically based thematic map. *Annals of Operations Research, 319*, 1–46.

[8] Liu, X. [刘晓], & Li, B. [李斌]. (2022). Blockchain technology, information transparency, and the digital transformation of supply chain finance [区块链技术、信息透明度与供应链金融数字化转型]. *Management World* [管理世界], *38*(1), 123–140.

[9] Numminen, S., & Rossi, M. (2021). Reducing the trade finance gap with distributed ledger technology. *Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems, 15*(2), 177–192.

[10] United Nations Commission on International Trade Law. (2017). *UNCITRAL*

Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records. United Nations.

[11] Wang, Y. [王燕], & Zhang, S. [张申]. (2023). Research on the legal regulation of blockchain bills of lading under the MLETR framework [MLETR 框架下区块链提单的法律规制研究]. *Global Law Review* [环球法律评论], *45*(3), 88–105.

[12] Xu, X. [徐晓], Lu, Y. [陆宇], & Li, B. [李波]. (2021). A study on the application logic and risk prevention of blockchain in trade finance [区块链在贸易金融中的应用逻辑与风险防范研究]. *Financial Regulation Research* [金融监管研究], (2), 92–108.